15. Apéndice - Evidencia

Appendix - Evidence I can’t take all the credit for the material in this book, I had help from some ghost writers within the sketchy shadow government. Most proceeds of book sales with go to the Harvard College Fund, The Graduate School of Arts and Sciences at Harvard University, The Tuck School of Business at Dartmouth College Fund, The Hotchkiss Preparatory School, and organizations involved in electronic mind manipulation defenses and dissemination of truth. If it weren’t for the values that these institutions instilled in me, I would have been the next psycho assassin, dead like the Oklahoma Bomber, or imprisoned like Ted Kaczynski. Expansion of CIA's Manual on Trickery Dear [deleted], This is a memo in regard to expansion of the manual on trickery. The manual as it now stands consists of the following five sections: 1. Underlying bases for the successful performance of tricks and the background of the psychological principles by which they operate. 2. Tricks with pills. 3. Tricks with loose solids. 4. Tricks with liquids. 5. Tricks by which small objects may be obtained secretly. This section was not considered in my original outline and was suggested subsequently to me. I was, however, able to add it without necessitating extension of the number of weeks requested for the writing. Another completed task not noted in the outline was making models of such equipment as has been described in the manual. As sections 2, 3, 4 and 5 were written solely for use by men working alone the manual needs two further sections. One section would give modified, or different, tricks and techniques of performance so that the tricks could be performed by women. The other section would describe tricks suitable for two or more people working in collaboration. In both these proposed sections the tricks would differ considerably from those which have been described. I believe that properly to devise the required techniques and devices and to describe them in writing would require 12 working weeks to complete the two sections. However, I cannot now work on this project every week and would hesitate to promise completion prior to the first of May, 1954. I shall await your instructions in the matter. Sincerely Yours, [deleted] CFA's Research and Development of Mind Altering Substances 5 May 1955 A portion of the Research and Development Program of TSS/Chemical Division is devoted to the discovery of the following materials and methods: 1. Substances which will promote illogical thinking and impulsiveness to the point where the recipient would be discredited in public. 2. Substances which increase the efficiency of mentation and perception. 3. Materials which will prevent or counteract the intoxicating effect of alcohol. 4. Materials which will promote the intoxicating effect of alcohol. 5. Materials which will produce the signs and symptoms of recognized diseases in a reversible way so that they may be used for malingering, etc. 6. Materials which will render the indication of hypnosis easier or otherwise enhance its usefulness. 7. Substances which will enhance the ability of individuals to withstand privation, torture and coercion during interrogation and so-called “brainwashing.” 8. Materials and physical methods which will produce amnesia for events preceding and during their use. 9. Physical methods of producing shock and confusion over extended periods of time and capable of surreptitious use. 10. Substances which produce physical disablement such as paralysis of the legs, acute anemia, etc. 11. Substances which will produce “pure” euphoria with no subsequent letdown. 12. Substances which alter personality structure in such a way that the tendency of the recipient to become dependent upon another person is enhanced. 13. A material which will cause mental confusion of such a type that the individual under its influence will find it difficult to maintain a fabrication under questioning. 14. Substances which will lower the ambition and general working efficiency of men when administered in undetectable amounts. 15. Substances which will promote weakness or distortion of the eyesight or hearing faculties, preferably without permanent effects. 16. A knockout pill which can surreptitiously be administered in drinks, food, cigarettes, as an aerosol, etc., which will be safe to use, provide the maximum of amnesia, and be suitable for use by agent types on an ad hoc basis. 17. A material which can be surreptitiously administered by the above routes and which in very small amounts will make it impossible for a man to perform a physical activity whatever. The development of materials of this

type follows the standard practice of such ethical drug houses as [deleted]. It is a relatively routine procedure to develop a drug to the point of human testing. Ordinarily, the drug houses depend upon the services of private physicians for the final clinical testing. The physicians are willing to assume the responsibility of such tests in order to advance the science of medicine. It is difficult and sometimes impossible for TSS/CD to offer such an inducement with respect to its products. In practice, it has been possible to use the outside cleared contractors for the preliminary phases of this work. However, that part which involves human testing at effective dose levels presents security problems which cannot be handled by the ordinary contractor. The proposed facility [deleted] offers a unique opportunity for the secure handling of such clinical testing in addition to the many advantages outlined in the project proposal. The security problems mentioned above are eliminated by the fact that the responsibility for the testing will rest completely upon the physician and the hospital. [Deleted] will allow TSS/CD personnel to supervise the work very closely to make sure that all tests are conducted according to the recognized practices and embody adequate safeguards. Memorandum on MKULTRA Hypnosis Experiments 11 May 1953 MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD Subject: Visit to Project [Deleted] 1. On this day the writer spent the day observing experiments with Mr. [deleted] on project [deleted] and in planning next year's work on the project (Mr. [deleted] has already submitted his proposal to the [deleted]). 2. The general picture of the present status of the project is one of a carefully planned series of five major experiments. Most of the year has been spent in screening and standardizing a large group of subjects (approximately 100) and the months between now and September 1 should yield much data, so that these five experiments should be completed by September 1. The five experiments are: (N stands for the total number of subjects involved in the experiment.) Experiment 1 - N-18 Hypnotically induced anxieties to be completed by September 1. Experiment 2 - N-24 Hypnotically increasing ability to learn and recall complex written matter, to be completed by September 1. Experiment 3 - N-30 Polygraph response under Hypnosis, to be completed by June 15. Experiment 4 - N-24 Hypnotically increasing ability to observe and recall complex arrangement of physical objects. Experiment 5 - N- 100 Relationship of personality to susceptibility to hypnosis. 3. The work for next year (September 1, 1953 to June 1, 1954) will concentrate on: Experiment 6, - The morse code problem, with the emphasis on relatively lower LQ subjects than found on University volunteers. Experiment 7 - Recall of hypnotically acquired information by very specific signals. [Deleted] will submit detailed research plans on all experiments not yet submitted. 4. A system of reports was decided upon, receivable in June, September and December 1953, and in March and June, 1954. These reports besides giving a summary of progress on each of the seven experiments, will also include the raw data obtained in each experiment. At the completion of any of the experiments a complete, organized final report will be sent to us. 5. After June 1, [deleted] new address will be: [deleted] 6. A new journal was observed in [deleted] office: Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis published quarterly by the Society for C. & E.H. publisher is Woodrow Press, Inc. 227 E. 45th Street New York 17, N.Y Price is $6.00 To date two numbers issued, Vol. 1, #1 January 1953, and Vol. 1 #2 April 1953. 7. A very favorable impression was made on the writer by the group. The experimental design of each experiment is very carefully done, and the standards of detail and instrumentation seems to be very high. [signature of Sidney Gottlieb] Chief, Chemical Division, TSS `Astronaut Reveals NASA Mind Control Program involving Children“ By Andrew D. Basiago July 21, 2000 LOS ANGELES-Astronaut Gordon Cooper, one of the original seven Mercury astronauts, has confirmed the existence of a mind control program administered by NASA in the 1950s and 1960s involving gifted American schoolchildren. The astronaut's revelation was made during a July 19th interview by host Mike Siegel on the popular, late-night radio program, “Coast-to-Coast AM.” During a discussion that primarily focused on Cooper's beliefs that extraterrestrial beings are visiting planet Earth and that some UFOs are alien spacecraft, Siegel asked Cooper: “Who were the space kids?” Cooper answered: “The space kids were children with exceptional mental abilities... run through a kind of MK program, like the things that are coming out now” He went on to describe how NASAs mind control program, which emphasized cultivation of the children's psychic abilities, consisted of training involving telepathy, remote viewing, and out-of-body experiences (OBEs). Cooper's comments support

the claims of a growing cadre of Americans, now in their thirties, forties, and fifties, who are recovering memories of unusual classes that they were enrolled in as children during the advent of the Space Age. These “study groups” included speed reading lessons that enabled students to comprehend entire passages of text at a single glance, the use of learning machines to teach them vast amounts of information, advanced memory training, card games and other situational exercises involving clairvoyance, and rudimentary seminars in the guided imagination that forms the basis of “remote viewing.” It is believed that NASAs mind control program had as its primary objective the task of preparing children who would later be able to communicate with the non-human intelligent species that humanity might encounter in space. This thesis is supported by the fact that one experiencer remembers being tutored in a hieroglyphic alphabet that author Fritz Springmeier has identified as a set of “intergalactic symbols” developed by NASA for the purpose of communicating with extraterrestrial civilizations. The accounts of some individuals suggest that in some cases, the children involved were given drugs to enhance memory and learning and were physically spun on tabletop like devices to induce the altered state of consciousness associated with OBEs. Cooper's book, Leap of Faith, will be released to the public in August. Memorandum on MKULTRA Activity (Experiments on Chemical, Biological and Radiological Materials in Clandestine Operations to Control Human Behavior) 26 July 1963 Memorandum for: Director of Central Intelligence Subject: Report of Inspection of MKULTRA 1. In connection with our survey of Technical Services Division, DD/P, it was deemed advisable to prepare the report of the MKULTRA program in one copy only, in view of its unusual sensitivity. 2. This report is forwarded herewith. 3. The MKULTRA activity is concerned with the research and development of chemical, biological and radiological materials capable of employment in clandestine operations to control human behavior. The end products of such research are subject to very strict controls including a requirement for the personal approval of the Deputy Director/Plans for any operations use made of these end products. 4. The cryptonym MKULTRA encompasses the R&D phase and a second cryptonym MKDELTA denotes the DD/P system for control of the operational employment of such materials. The provisions of the MKULTRA authority is also cover [deleted material]. The administration and control of this latter activity were found to be generally satisfactory and are discussed in greater detail in the main body of the report on TSD. 5. MKULTRA was authorized by the then Director of Central Intelligence, Mr. Allen W Dulles, in 1953. The TSD was assigned responsibility thereby to employ a portion of its R&D budget, eventually set at 20%, for research in behavioral materials and [deleted material] under purely internal and compartmented controls, (further details are provided in paragraph 3 of the attached report). Normal procedures for project approval, funding, and accounting were waived. However, special arrangements for audit of expenditures have been evolved in subsequent years. 6. The scope of MKULTRA is comprehensive and ranges from the search for and procurement of botanical and chemical substances, through programs for their analysis in scientific laboratories, to progressive testing for effect on animals and human beings. The testing on individuals begins under laboratory conditions employing every safeguard and progresses gradually to more and more realistic operational simulations. The program requires and obtains the services of a number of highly specialized authorities in many fields of the natural sciences. 7. The concepts involved in manipulating human behavior are found by many people both within and outside the Agency to be distasteful and unethical. There is considerable evidence that opposition intelligence services are active and highly proficient in this field. The experience of TSD to date indicates that both the research and employment of the materials are expensive and often unpredictable in results. Nevertheless, there have been major accomplishments both in research and operational employment. 8. The principal conclusions of the inspections are that the structure and operational controls over this activity need strengthening; improvements are needed in the administration of the research projects; and some of the testing of substances under simulated operational conditions was judged to involve excessive risk to the Agency. 9. Attached for the signature of the Deputy Director of Central Intelligence is a memorandum transmitting the report to the Deputy Director/Plans requesting a summary of action taken or comments on the recommendations contained therein. Attachments - as stated [signature of J.S. Earman] Inspector

General “CIA. Documents Tell of 1954 Project to Create Involuntary Assassins” By Nicholas M Horrock The New York Times February 9, 1978 WASHINGTON-The Central Intelligence Agency began a study in 1954 to find out whether a person could be secretly induced to commit an assassination against his will, newly released Government documents disclosed today. It was the first documentary evidence that the C.I.A. had contemplated such a situation. The study was disclosed in a series of 1954 memorandums made public under the Freedom of Information Act request by The New York Times and others. The documents were prepared as part of a project sometimes known by the code name `Artichoke,“ one of four C.I.A. programs to conduct mind-control experiments from 1949 to 1974. No Evidence of Attempt There is no indication in the documents released so far that the C.I.A. attempted to use mind control in an actual assassination attempt. However, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence reported in 1976 that the intelligence agency had plotted three assassinations and had become indirectly involved in several others. According to the documents, a team from the project, which usually included interrogation experts, drug experts and psychiatrists or psychologists, was asked to “give an evaluation” of the following “hypothetical problem” in January 1954: “Can an individual of (deleted nationality) descent be made to perform an act of attempted assassination involuntarily under the influence of Artichoke?” The memorandum, which like most documents released by the agency has names of individuals, government agencies or locations deleted, described the following “problem.” “As a `trigger mechanism,' for a bigger project, it was proposed that an individual, of (deleted) descent, approximately 35 years old, well educated, proficient in English and well established socially and politically in the (deleted) government be induced under Artichoke to perform an act, involuntarily, against a prominent (deleted) politician or if necessary, against an American official.” At another point it noted that “access to the subject would be extremely limited, probably limited to a single social meeting.” The memorandum went on: “Because the subject is a heavy drinker, it was proposed that the individual could be surreptitiously drugged through the medium of an alcoholic cocktail at a social party, Artichoke applied, and the subject induced to perform the act of attempting assassination at some later date.” `After the act of attempted assassination was performed, it was assumed that the subject would be taken into custody by the (deleted) government and thereby `disposed of,”' the memorandum said. The Air Force Research Laboratory’s Directed Energy Directorate, located at Kirtland AFB in New Mexico Taken from a military document on microwave nervous system interactions. All biological and medical studies for high power microwave technologies are performed by the Human Effectiveness Directorate, Air Force Research Laboratory, Brooks AFB, Texas. The only international treaty that restricts the use of electromagnetic weapons is the Nairobi International Telecommunications Convention, dated January 10, 1986. However, the U.S. is not a party to this treaty, per U.S. Code 502, dated January 1986. Additionally, the treaty provisions do not apply during warfare. See briefing by Kirk Hackett, Policy: Legal and Ethical Constraints Concerning Non-Lethal Weapons, briefing, undated. Smoking Gun – Where the traitors get their weapons and who tests them. Several CIA agents quit after they were not reassured that the law that allows punishment of death for torture did not apply to them in Iraq. What about torturing US citizens? What about treason? “Do not trust your senses. You’re senses can deceive you.” – Obi Wan Kenobi, Star Wars Dr. William L. Baker (Chief Scientist) and Dr. Eugene J. Bednarz, of the Air Force Research Laboratory's Directed Energy Directorate, and Dr. Robert L. Sierakowski (Chief Scientist), of the Air Force Research Laboratory' s Munitions Directorate, wrote this article. For more information contact TECH CONNECT at (800) 203-6451 or place a request at http://www.afrl.af.mil/techconn/index.htm. Reference document DE-04-01. For the Controlled Personnel Effects capability, the S&T (Science and Technology) panel explored the potential for targeting individuals with nonlethal force, from a militarily useful range, to make selected adversaries think or act according to our needs. Through the application of nonlethal force, it is possible to physically influence or incapacitate personnel. Advanced technologies could enable the war fighter to remotely create physical sensations such as pressure or temperature changes. A current example of this technology is Active Denial, a nonlethal counterpersonnel millimeter wave system that creates a skin heating sensation to repel an individual or group of people without harm.1 By studying and

modeling the human brain and nervous system, the ability to mentally influence or confuse personnel is also possible. Through sensory deception, it may be possible to create synthetic images, or holograms, to confuse an individual' s visual sense or, in a similar manner, confuse his senses of sound, taste, touch, or smell. Through cognitive engineering, scientists can develop a better understanding of how an individual' s cognitive processes (pattern recognition, visual conditioning, and difference detection) affect his decision making processes1 . Once understood, scientists could use these cognitive models to predict a person' s behavior under a variety of conditions with the potential to affect an adversary' s mission accomplishment via a wide range of personnel effects. As technology has advanced over recent years, most, if not all, systems are controlled by, or include, some form of computer or electronic components. Within the Dominant Remote Control capability, the S&T panel investigated the remote manipulation of adversarial electronic systems to control vehicles, sensors, communications, and information systems. In one scenario, the vision is to take control of enemy offensive and defensive military systems (a spacecraft, aircraft, or ground vehicle) and use them to our advantage. It might be possible to either confuse enemy systems so they would be unable to successfully perform their mission or to take control of enemy systems and remotely manipulate them. In another application, the control and manipulation of an adversary' s communications and information streams would cause confusion or provide false information. The ability to disrupt or degrade an adversary' s computers and information systems could render them inoperable or insert false information which, in turn, would significantly impair the enemy' s ability to communicate. If our military commanders could achieve this dominant remote control capability, all aspects of the enemy' s operations in the battlefield could be controlled to our advantage. Within the Controlled Effects long term challenge, the S&T panel investigated the ability to tailor and deliver the most appropriate type and amount of energy onto targets of military significance to create a desired effect. Scientists are currently developing technologies to enable a number of first-generation applications. These include high-energy lasers, high power microwaves, micro air vehicles, and some forms of antipersonnel systems. Others, like sensory deception and environmental energetics, are truly futuristic2 and require a great deal of research and development for far-term applications. Scientists will have to overcome technological hurdles, such as the production and storage of antimatter3 , the ability to propagate sensory information, or the ability to harness and extract energy from the environment, before these science fiction concepts will become reality. The technologies and applications described within the Controlled Effects long-term challenge will revolutionize the face of military conflict in the coming century. Excerpt from http://www.gafintl-adamski.com/html/News2.htm

1 None of this can be done without the ability to read a target’s brainwaves at a distance. 2 Phrases of the conspiracy to test, torture, and kill Americans for weapons development. “Truly futuristic”, “That’s science fiction,” “far in the future”, “that’s star wars fantasy,” etc. The military is currently 30 years ahead of what they release to the public and given their increased budgets and secrecy, that gap is growing. From the signaling of the generals and scientists, they aren’t planning to release this technology to the public for another 24 years. That means they will have held it in secrecy for 55-80 years from the public. That takes quite a conspiracy to keep a secret this big both technologically and politically contained for that long. 3 They are such bad liars. Anti-matter storage has nothing to do with the technology that they are mentioning. Maj. Hans Petersen, Danish Air Force [ret] Director of IGAP [International Get Acquainted Program in Denmark] Presents some of this information, and possible ramifications in his recent publication: In Defense of the Abductees. “When about 25 million Americans make claims of having been abducted, it stands out. Interestingly, reported instances of abductions outside the American Continent – Canada, the US, Central and South America – until lately, did not primarily exist. Yet, about the time after the last poll, where 10 million Americans indicated their belief in being abducted, then suddenly claimed abductions began sprouting up elsewhere. So today, it can be said the 98% of all claimed abductions took place on the American continent, while the last 2% were found elsewhere – typically England and Germany. Whether there exists some significance or not, coincidentally, these two countries maintain numerous U.S. Military installations.”

Maj. Petersen continues by attributing the rise in abduction type implanted memories to advancements in several fields of Earthly origin. These are the development and experimentation with the manipulation of the human mind through Parapsychology, and Psychotronic weapons and machinery. Psychotronics may be described as the interaction of the mind and matter. Manipulation of this can be divided into several avenues of Mind-altering techniques. One such procedure includes manipulation of human behavior through machinery designed to effect sight, sound, smell, and temperature using electromagnetic energy and sensory deprivation. Another evolves the broadcasting and transference of energy from one organism to another effecting the recipient with a variety of mental and physical symptoms. For example, researchers suggest that certain extreme low frequency (ELF) emissions induce psychoactive characteristics and have been effectively tested. Others methods yet involve telepathic behavior modification, which has successfully induced hypnotic states from a distance of 1,000 kilometers. This type of telepathic hypnosis allows reaction to deeply planted programming without the recipient's knowledge, maintaining complete anonymity to the extent that he or she believes that such thoughts are originally theirs. Offering supporting evidence from several sources including, Psychic Warfare - Fact or Fiction: by John White, Battle of the Minds: by Dennis Stacy, Psychic Warfare: by John McRae, and others, Major Petersen offers some of the following information. "In the early years, Russian scientists developed inventions that the Western World could only imagine in science fiction novels and movies. This included experimentation with low frequency radiation broadcast towards the American Embassy in Moscow, which resulted in serious illness and even death among staff members. The Americans were stunned, but with an incredible concentrated effort and enormous amounts of funds, they quickly succeeded in matching and surpassing the Russians accomplishments in this field." "Famed Russian physiologist and Doyan of parapsychology, in his article 'Critical Evaluation of the Hypnogenic Method' detailed experiments by Dr I.F. Tomashevsky describing remote radio control of the brain from a distance of one or more rooms. Under conditions where the participant would not know or suspect they were being experimented with. One such early experiment was conducted from a distance in a park where via posthypnotic mental suggestion, the subject complied with the instruction to go to sleep within one minute." Taken one step further, some speculated into more sinister applications. "By 1956, an Electrical Engineer for the Norden - Katay Corporation presented the possibilities at the National Electronics Conference of Chicago." 'The controlled subjects would never be permitted to think as individuals. A few months after birth, a surgeon could equip a child with a socket mounted under the scalp, allowing electrode access to areas of the brain tissue. Sensory perceptions and muscular activity could be either modified or completely controlled by bio-electric signals radiating from state controlled transmitters.'" Petersen continues, "By this time the American Intelligence communities had become involved. In 1992, a former Pentagon liaison indicated that, 'If you could get a hold of a directory of the American Psychiatric Association in around 1956 -57, you'll be sure to find an enormous percentage of individuals listed are foreign born. Mostly they came out of Germany and Eastern Europe in a big wave. They were called 'Technical Specialists', but really were working on these unconventional mind control programs for the U.S. intelligence.' Describing experiments Frankensteinian in nature, 'In one laboratory, subjects were strapped involuntarily into a chair, their heads bristling with electrodes and transducers. Any resistance was met with a paralyzing dosage of curare. The subjects brain waves were beamed into a nearby reception room crammed with voice analyzers, a wire recorder and radio receivers. The systematic annihilation or 'De-patterning' of the subject's mind and memory was accomplished. This sometimes was accomplished with drug over dosage, ECT shocks at 75 times the norm, etc. Psychic driving, the repetition of a recorded message played for 16 hours a day, reprogrammed the empty mind.'" He continues, "By 1965, Intelligence scientists continued to probe ways to control the human behavior, and they were doing so with space-age technology that made the early days of MK Ultra look like the horse and buggy era. These scientists were advanced in stereotaxis surgery, simplifying the implantation of electrodes in the brain to wipe out a subject's memory in preparation for hypnotic re-constructive surgery." Interestingly, this period of time coincided with the emergence of the first wave of alleged UFO abductions. "The same

year, the New York Times learned of these obscure electronic experiments quietly funded by the government, and went tabloid with the front page headline Mind control coming, Scientists Warns." "According to a 1975 issue of Modern People, a Intelligence manual was prepared on the electronic wizardry of Radio-Hypnotic Intra-cerebral Control [RHIC]. When a part of the brain receives a tiny electric impulse for outside sources, such as vision, hearing, etc, an emotion is produced - for example the sight of a gang of boys beating up on an old woman. The SAME emotion of anger can be CREATED by ARTIFICIAL radio signals sent to your brain by a controller. You would instantly feel the same white-hot anger without any apparent reason." A recent report co-sponsored by the U.S. National Science Foundation and the Commerce Department calls for a broad-based research program to find ways to use nanotechnology, biotechnology, information technology, and cognitive sciences, to achieve telepathy, machine-tohuman communication, amplified sensory experience, enhanced intellectual capacity, and mass participation in a "hive mind." Quoting the report: "With knowledge no longer encapsulated in individuals, the distinction between individuals and the entirety of humanity would blur. Think Vulcan mind-meld. We would perhaps become more of a hive mind–an enormous, single, intelligent entity." There is no doubt that we have been brought closer to the "hive mind" by the mass media. For, what is the shared experience of television but a type of "Vulcan mind-meld"? (Note the terminology borrowed from Star Trek, no doubt to make the concept more familiar and palatable. If Spock does it, it must be okay.) This government report would have us believe that the hive mind will be for our good–a wonderful leap in evolution. It is nothing of the kind. For one thing, if the government is behind it, you may rest assured it is not for our good. For another, common sense should tell us that blurring the line "between individuals and the entirety of humanity" means mass conformity, the death of human individuality. Make no mistake about it–if humanity is to become a hive, there will be at the center of that hive a Queen Bee, whom all the lesser "insects" will serve. This is not evolution–this is devolution. Worse, it is the ultimate slavery–the slavery of the mind. http://www.smh.com.au/articles/2002/07/20/1026898931815.html US report foretells of brave new world By Nathan Cochrane July 23 2002 Next A draft government report says we will alter human evolution within 20 years by combining what we know of nanotechnology, biotechnology, IT and cognitive sciences. The 405-page report sponsored by the US National Science Foundation and Commerce Department, Converging Technologies for Improving Human Performance, calls for a broad-based research program to improve human performance leading to telepathy, machine-to-human communication, amplified personal sensory devices and enhanced intellectual capacity. People may download their consciousnesses into computers or other bodies even on the other side of the solar system, or participate in a giant "hive mind", a network of intelligences connected through ultra-fast communications networks. "With knowledge no longer encapsulated in individuals, the distinction between individuals and the entirety of humanity would blur," the report says. "Think Vulcan mind-meld. We would perhaps become more of a hive mind - an enormous, single, intelligent entity." Armies may one day be fielded by machines that think for themselves while devices will respond to soldiers' commands before their thoughts are fully formed, it says. The report says the abilities are within our grasp but will require an intense public-relations effort to "prepare key organisations and societal activities for the changes made possible by converging technologies", and to counter concern over "ethical, legal and moral" issues. Education should be overhauled down to the primary-school level to bridge curriculum gaps between disparate subject areas. Professional societies should be open to practitioners from other fields, it says. "The success of this convergent-technologies priority area is crucial to the future of humanity," the report says. wtec.org/ConvergingTechnologies/Report/NBIC-pre-publication.pdf On December 3-4, 2001 the Technology Administration (TA) joined with the National Science Foundation (NSF) and the National Science and Technology Council's Subcommittee on Nanoscale Science, Engineering and Technology (NSTC-NSEC) to sponsor a workshop on Converging Technologies to Improve Human Performance. " Concentrated multidisciplinary research thrusts could achieve crucially important results. Among the most promising of such proposed endeavors are the Human Cognome Project to understand the nature of the human mind, the development of a "Communicator"(synthetic telepathy) system to

optimize human teams and organizations, and the drive to enhance human physiology and physical performance. Such efforts probably require the establishment of networks of research centers dedicated to each goal, funded by coalitions of government agencies and operated by consortia of universities and corporations." HR 2977 IH 107th CONGRESS 1st Session H. R. 2977 To preserve the cooperative, peaceful uses of space for the benefit of all humankind by permanently prohibiting the basing of weapons in space by the United States, and to require the President to take action to adopt and implement a world treaty banning spacebased weapons. IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES October 2, 2001 Mr. KUCINICH introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Science, and in addition to the Committees on Armed Services, and International Relations, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned A BILL To preserve the cooperative, peaceful uses of space for the benefit of all humankind by permanently prohibiting the basing of weapons in space by the United States, and to require the President to take action to adopt and implement a world treaty banning spacebased weapons. Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This Act may be cited as the `Space Preservation Act of 2001'. SEC. 2. REAFFIRMATION OF POLICY ON THE PRESERVATION OF PEACE IN SPACE. Congress reaffirms the policy expressed in section 102(a) of the National Aeronautics and Space Act of 1958 (42 U.S.C. 2451(a)), stating that it `is the policy of the United States that activities in space should be devoted to peaceful purposes for the benefit of all mankind.'. SEC. 3. PERMANENT BAN ON BASING OF WEAPONS IN SPACE. The President shall– (1) implement a permanent ban on space-based weapons of the United States and remove from space any existing space-based weapons of the United States; and (2) immediately order the permanent termination of research and development, testing, manufacturing, production, and deployment of all space-based weapons of the United States and their components. SEC. 4. WORLD AGREEMENT BANNING SPACE-BASED WEAPONS. The President shall direct the United States representatives to the United Nations and other international organizations to immediately work toward negotiating, adopting, and implementing a world agreement banning space-based weapons. SEC. 5. REPORT. The President shall submit to Congress not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every 90 days thereafter, a report on– (1) the implementation of the permanent ban on space-based weapons required by section 3; and (2) progress toward negotiating, adopting, and implementing the agreement described in section 4. SEC. 6. NON SPACE-BASED WEAPONS ACTIVITIES. Nothing in this Act may be construed as prohibiting the use of funds for– (1) space exploration; (2) space research and development; (3) testing, manufacturing, or production that is not related to space-based weapons or systems; or (4) civil, commercial, or defense activities (including communications, navigation, surveillance, reconnaissance, early warning, or remote sensing) that are not related to space-based weapons or systems. SEC. 7. DEFINITIONS. In this Act: (1) The term `space' means all space extending upward from an altitude greater than 60 kilometers above the surface of the earth and any celestial body in such space. (2)(A) The terms `weapon' and `weapons system' mean a device capable of any of the following: (i) Damaging or destroying an object (whether in outer space, in the atmosphere, or on earth) by– (I) firing one or more projectiles to collide with that object; (II) detonating one or more explosive devices in close proximity to that object; (III) directing a source of energy (including molecular or atomic energy, subatomic particle beams, electromagnetic radiation, plasma, or extremely low frequency (ELF) or ultra low frequency (ULF) energy radiation) against that object; or (IV) any other unacknowledged or as yet undeveloped means. (ii) Inflicting death or injury on, or damaging or destroying, a person (or the biological life, bodily health, mental health, or physical and economic well-being of a person)– (I) through the use of any of the means described in clause (i) or subparagraph (B); (II) through the use of land-based, sea-based, or spacebased systems using radiation, electromagnetic, psychotronic, sonic, laser, or other energies directed at individual persons or targeted populations for the purpose of information war, mood management, or mind control of such persons or populations; or (III) by expelling chemical or biological agents in the vicinity of a person. (B) Such terms include exotic weapons systems such as–

(i) electronic, psychotronic, or information weapons; (ii) chemtrails; (iii) high altitude ultra low frequency weapons systems; (iv) plasma, electromagnetic, sonic, or ultrasonic weapons; (v) laser weapons systems; (vi) strategic, theater, tactical, or extraterrestrial weapons; and (vii) chemical, biological, environmental, climate, or tectonic weapons. (C) The term `exotic weapons systems' includes weapons designed to damage space or natural ecosystems (such as the ionosphere and upper atmosphere) or climate, weather, and tectonic systems with the purpose of inducing damage or destruction upon a target population or region on earth or in space. - They actually are doing exactly the opposite. This is being developed as a torture weapon and mass mind enslavement technology. Pretty sneaky marketing…. “Alice in Wonderland” Interrogation Techniques Nearly identical to “Wizard of Oz Style” THE CIA AND TORTURE: On the Record, Part 1 by Jon Elliston [In January 1997, under the threat of a BALTIMORE SUN Freedom of Information Act lawsuit, the CIA released the “KUBARK Counterintelligence Interrogation” manual, its 1963 guidebook on Interrogation. The manual offers a documented view of the CIA's interrogation strategies-methods for inducing mental and physical agony. Total isolation, sensory deprivation, body cavity searches, insufficient or inadequate food, denial of heat in bitter winter or air-conditioning in sweltering summer, and lack of sunlight are detailed in the CIA manual as particularly harsh measures. Is it coincidental that CIA methods of torture are replicated in many of our prisons, particularly in control unit supermaxes? Such torture strategies also reflect a larger pattern of U.S. violence-from bombing other nations in response to “terrorism” or “non-compliance” to capital punishment, police brutality, and individual hate crimes. Both international and domestic savagery have become disturbingly prevalent-and acceptable-in our country.] The release of a Central Intelligence Agency guidebook on interrogation arrives in the public domain at an especially crucial juncture in the long-standing debate over the agency's role and mission. This June 1963 document, titled “KUBARK Counterintelligence Interrogation” (KUBARK is a code-word referring to CIA), should be a key piece of evidence in assessing the agency's operations. The manual, which explored methods of extracting information from “resistant” sources and advised torture techniques that were not officially renounced until the mid-1980s, provides a fitting point from which to launch an investigation of the CIA's role in advancing the scientific basis for brutal questioning methods that it has promoted throughout the world. These methods have recently come back to haunt the CIA, as a stream of media and official reports has exposed extensive agency assistance to foreign killers. In several countries where U.S. intelligence maintained working relationships with repressive security forces, victims and victimizers have reported how the United States, through the CIA, has promoted grave human rights abuses. In two of the more prominent recent cases-the CIA's involvement in Guatemala and Honduras-pressure from human rights groups and some members of Congress has compelled the agency to conduct internal reviews, submit its conduct to the scrutiny of outside investigators, and shed some notorious criminals from its payroll. In Guatemala, a country that endured decades of dictatorship following the CIA's 1954 operation to overthrow the government of elected president Jacobo Arbenz Guzman, the agency employed until very recently military officers who were responsible for “serious human rights violations such as assassination, extrajudicial execution, torture, or kidnapping while they were [CIA] assets,” according to a 1996 report by President Clinton's Intelligence Oversight Board. A March 1997 report by the Republican-controlled House Intelligence Committee confirmed the IOB's findings. In March 1995, it was revealed that CIA Guatemalan assets were involved in the murders of American citizen Michael Devine and Efrain Bamaca Velasquez, a guerrilla leader married to an American woman, Jennifer Harbury. Fasts and vigils by Harbury and Sister Diana Ortiz, an American nun who was kidnapped, raped, and tortured by Guatemalan security forces in 1989, built interest in the issue and prompted White House assurances that the CIA's involvement in Guatemala would be closely examined and all relevant government documents on the subject made public. None of the materials released to date have identified “Alejandro,” an American who, according to Ortiz, advised the Guatemalan military team who brutalized her. The ordeal of Sister Ortiz, whose body bears the scars of 111 cigarette burns inflicted during her detention, was experienced by thousands of Guatemalans during the 1980s, when a massive program of political torture and murder gripped the country. The military and police

agencies responsible received continual assistance from the CIA. In April 1995, investigative journalist Allan Nairn reported that the CIA “has systematic links to Guatemalan Army death squad operations that go far beyond the disclosures” of the previous month. According to current and former officials from the United States and Guatemala interviewed by Nairn, “CIA operatives work inside a Guatemalan Army unit [the G-2] that maintains a network of torture centers and has killed thousands of Guatemalan civilians,” and “at least three of the recent G-2 chiefs have been paid by the CIA.” A former U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency official in Guatemala told Nairn the involvement was so extensive that “it would be an embarrassing situation if you ever had a roll call of everybody in the Guatemalan Army who ever collected a CIA paycheck.” At least one government official has gone to bat against the CIA's conduct in Guatemala, despite the risks of doing so. In March of 1995, Richard Nuccio-then a White House aide-shared information with Congress regarding CIA ties to Guatemalan military officers implicated in the murders of Devine and Bamaca. In retaliation, the CIA successfully lobbied to have Nuccio's security clearance revoked, effectively destroying his eligibility for high government office. As the conflict came to a head, Nuccio said he was “being hounded out of government service by the CIA for telling Congress what it had a right to know.” In late February 1997, Nuccio resigned to work as a congressional aide. In a letter to President Clinton announcing his decision to quit, Nuccio wrote that the CIA has employed agents guilty of “systematic human rights violations,” and warned that “if you do not take decisive steps to bring the agency under control, far graver damage will result to our democracy than the denial of a clearance to one individual.” Nuccio was not the only job casualty of the CIA's Guatemala controversy. In early March of 1997, the Washington Post reported that as a result of the outcry over the CIA's involvement with Guatemalan rights abusers, the agency conducted an “agent scrub”-a purge of foreign informants on the CIA payroll with criminal backgrounds. Since 1994, about 100 informants have been dropped for human rights problems. A disproportionately high number-about 50- were involved in the CIA's operations in Latin America. In the early 1980s, the CIA played an instrumental role in setting up a Honduran military intelligence unit, Battalion 316, that wreaked havoc on the human rights front. In a June 1995 investigative series, Baltimore Sun reporters Gary Cohn and Ginger Thompson described in detail how the CIA, in concert with Argentine military experts fresh from a decade of “dirty war” against dissidents in their country, instructed Battalion 316 in intelligence matters, including surveillance and interrogation. Cohn and Thompson uncovered close CIA ties to the Honduran officers who maintained secret prisons, directed torture sessions, and commanded death squads that killed hundreds of suspected “subversives,” including many union and student leaders. The Sun series is heavily documented, drawing on scores of interviews with former U.S. officials and members and survivors of Battalion 316. Cohn and Thompson also tracked the U.S. government paper trail on assistance to the unit, and discovered that secret CIA manuals were consulted in training the Hondurans in advanced methods of interrogation. In May of 1994, the Sun filed a Freedom of Information Act request with the CIA seeking release of the documents. More than two years later, when the Sun threatened legal action, the CIA released the manuals. One of the documents, titled “Human Resources Exploitation Manual-1983,” summarized CIA interrogation training given to military personnel from several Latin American countries and repeated many of the psychological torture strategies outlined in the 1963 manual. Reading the disturbing methods detailed in the manuals, it's easy to see why the CIA preferred that the documents remain classified. While most of the 1963 manual is now available to the public, significant portions were censored by the CIA prior to release. For example, 8 of the 42 bibliographical entries are completely deleted, as are 4 of the 50 items on the “Interrogator's Check List.” On several pages, discussion of the CIA's policy on the use of forcible detention (which the agency has no legal authority for) are deleted (see pp. 6-8, 43-45, 86). The CIA's public affairs staff also refused my request to provide translations of the numerous codewords used in the document, making it difficult to discern the full meaning of passages containing them. Despite these omissions, “KUBARK Counterintelligence Interrogation” contains new details on several secret CIA endeavors, including the agency's mind control research. Like the recent media reports on the CIA's ties to murderous security forces, the manual fills significant gaps in the history of U.S. foreign policy. As no

previously released document has done, this manual places the CIA's hostile interrogation strategies on the record. The Coercion Continuum The methods outlined in the interrogation manual indicate that the CIA has given considerable thought to designing optimal means of making people talk. The tactics stretch across the full continuum of coercion, from mild mind games to harrowing tortures. The ideal interrogation described in the manual is methodical, comprehensive, and meticulously planned. It is broken down into distinct phases, tailored to the personality of the subject, and conducted by a sizable squad of specialists-including an interviewer for thorough screening of the subject, a polygraph operator to check for lies, an audio technician to man the tape recorder in the “listening post,” and, in extreme cases, an expert hypnotist or a doctor to administer drugs. The manual divides the tactics into two categories: coercive and non-coercive. Before describing the gentler tactics, the manual reminds the CIA interrogator that “the non-coercive interrogation is not conducted without pressure. On the contrary, the goal is to generate maximum pressure, or at least as much as is needed to induce compliance. The difference is that the pressure is generated inside the interrogatee. His resistance is sapped, his urge to yield is fortified, until in the end he defeats himself” (p. 52). Interrogators should recognize that even before questioning begins, many subjects will be in a jarred, vulnerable state, the manual states. “Interrogation, as both situation and process, does itself exert significant internal pressure upon the interrogatee as long as he is not permitted to accustom himself to it” (p. 40). The pressures of arrest, detention, and questioning set the stage for “rapid exploitation of the moment of shock” by the interrogator (p. 66). The frightened subject can be manipulated with any one of an assortment of tricks, the manual advises, such as the time-tested “good cop, bad cop” routine. Deception can prove especially useful, as when interrogators produce forged confessions and edited tape recordings to make the subject think his associates have already spilled the beans, thereby removing a key reason to withhold information (p. 70). Many of the tactics labeled “non-coercive” are nonetheless cruel, such as the “Alice in Wonderland” method detailed on p.76. The aim of the technique “is to confound the expectations and conditioned reactions of the interrogatee”; it is “designed not only to obliterate the familiar but to replace it with the weird.” For the subject barraged with “double-talk questions” and “illogical” statements, all sensible points of reference begin to blur: ”[A]s the process continues, day after day if necessary, the subject begins to try to make sense of the situation, which becomes mentally intolerable. Now he is likely to make significant admissions, or even to pour out his whole story, just to stop the flow of babble which assails him.“ Confusion is presented as a reliable weapon for the interrogator. “The capacity for resistance is diminished by disorientation,” the manual notes, suggesting that “the subject may be left alone for days; and he may be returned to his cell, allowed to sleep for five minutes, and brought back to an interrogation which is conducted as though eight hours had intervened.” The effect will be to “disrupt the source's sense of chronological order” (pp. 49-50). As the subject tries to retain a grip on reality, “thwarting his attempts to do so is likely to drive him deeper and deeper into himself, until he is no longer able to control his responses in adult fashion” (p. 77). This orchestrated regression is the objective of the more severe methods in the manual. Recommended for use on “resistant sources,” the coercive tactics focus a psychological attack with the goal of driving the subject into a childlike mental state: .” . . these techniques . . . are in essence methods of inducing regression of the personality to whatever earlier and weaker level is required for the dissolution of resistance and the inculcation of dependence. . . . As the interrogatee slips back from maturity toward a more infantile state, his learned or structured personality traits fall away in a reversed chronological order, so that the characteristics most recently acquired-which are also the characteristics drawn upon by the interrogatee in his own defenseare the first to go. As Gill and Brenman have pointed out, regression is basically a loss of autonomy (p. 41).“ Among the methods listed for fostering the “calculated regression of the interrogatee” are solitary confinement, sensory-deprivation, and the use of threats, pain, drugs, and even hypnosis, which is thought to have unique attributes: “Under favorable circumstances it should be possible to administer a silent drug to a resistant source, persuade him as the drug takes effect that he is slipping into a hypnotic trance, place him under actual hypnosis as consciousness is returning, shift his frame of reference so that his reasons for resistance become

reasons for cooperating, interrogate him, and conclude the session by implanting the suggestion that when he emerges from trance he will not remember anything about what has happened (p. 98).” There are hints in the manual about what lies at the harshest end of the CIA's coercion continuum. On p. 8, the manual refers to CIA policy on authorizing the most brutal tactics mentioned, stating that “prior Headquarters approval at the KUDOVE level must be obtained for the interrogation of any source against his will and under any of the following circumstances: 1. If bodily harm is to be inflicted. 2. If medical, chemical, or electrical methods or materials are to be used to induce acquiescence. 3. [deleted].” This deletion caused National Public Radio commentator Daniel Schorr to remark: “Can you imagine what kind of horror that was, to have the CIA excise it even now?” The most spectacular case on record of the CIA's use of the manual's methods is that of KGB defector Yuri Nosenko, whom the agency kept in solitary confinement for three and a half years beginning in 1964. The manual counsels that Soviet defectors “are often RIS [Russian Intelligence Service] agents” sent to mislead the United States (pp. 16, 43). The infamous CIA counterintelligence chief James Jesus Angleton, whose suspicions resulted in Nosenko's detention and interrogation, believed the former KGB man was just such a plant. As a result of Angleton's paranoia, the CIA would force the would-be defector to prove his sincerity by withstanding years in a torturous environment. Attempting to force a confession from Nosenko, the CIA imprisoned him in a specially built isolation cell at Camp Perry, the agency's secret base near Williamsburg, Virginia. There Nosenko's guards denied him reading material, human contact, privacy (his cell was constantly monitored), sufficient food, and for extended periods, heat, air conditioning, and sunlight. Nosenko's movements were limited to those he could conduct in a 10 x l0-foot space. His attempts to build playthings out of napkins, matches and pieces of lint were quickly frustrated by the CIA men, who would allow no such diversions. Nosenko's discomfort and boredom were interrupted only by abusive interrogations, which were often accompanied by body cavity searches and exhaustive polygraph sessions. He reports that he was given drugs on a number of occasions-including what he believes was LSD. As this manual attests, by the mid-1960s the use of drugs and other means of manipulating the minds of interrogatees had been fully explored by the CIA. No “plausible deniability” this time. It's all on the record. For anyone probing the dark history of the CIA, the “KUBARK Counterintelligence Interrogation” manual is a must-read. This verbatim transcript is available only through ParaScope. ParaScope http://www.parascope.com/ 1430 Willamette #329, Eugene, OR 97401/ (541)686-5771 Email:EASTERISLE@aol.com Why “Dr.” Delgado is called “the butcher” by those who know him. Delgado is currently living is San Diego after being flown back out of hiding in Spain. His own testimony is evidence he fried his own mind during his experimentation. The following article gives the reference as Feb. 24, 1972, so that is probably the best volume to look in first: The Mind of Mae Brussell http://www.maebrussell.com/Mae%20Brussell%20Articles/Mind%20of%20Mae %20Brussell.html […] She zips out the Congressional Record from February 24, 1972, and reads the testimony of Dr. Jose Delgado from Yale University, who was arguing against the proposed discontinuation of research into psychosurgery: “We need a program of psychosurgery for political control of our society. The purpose is physical control of the mind. Everyone who deviates from the given norm can be surgically mutilated. The individual may think that the most important reality is his own existence, but this is only his personal view. This lacks historical perspective. Man does not have the right to develop his own mind. This kind of liberal orientation has great appeal. We must electrically control the brain. Someday armies and generals will be controlled by electric stimulation of the brain.” Simple Action You Can Take – Send this letter. Here is a sample letter you can use. (Thanks Valerie). Please help show support for the thousands being tortured by the U.S. using EEG heterodyning and directed energy weapons. Tear out the follow pages and mail it to your representative. If you were the tortured, we would support you. We have enough proof, it is time to act. Silence is consent. PS Be sure to add the name of the addressee after “Dear…” and sign your name. If you are among those who are afraid to go public with your identity, then delete the Sincerely, at the end of the letter. I do think signing is the better way, with real names, but fully understand the desire for anonymity some have. Dear I am a victim of Directed Energy / mind control weaponry abuse from the US. There are

thousands of us who are slowly being murdered by our own government and other groups in possession of these weapons. The following website has recently come to my attention http://int.psycor.ru/main.php?smir The following letter is posted at that site Dear colleagues!

For the first time we are daring to present the result of our work of several decades - decades during which the sweat and blood of many researchers sprinkled the filing cabinets of our laboratories. Today many of our fellow investigators are gone, but their contributions have not been lost. Our extremely difficult pursuit of knowledge of the most delicate and mysterious realm of human consciousness - our spiritual essence - has borne its first fruits. These first real working results, when considered amidst all previous methods for investigating the unconscious, stand alone because they are based not on researchers opinions and subjective experience, but on the measurement of actual spiritual processes. We call this dimension “psychozonding”. Psychocorrection is the most complicated procedure we do. And the most delicate from a moral point of view. We do it extremely carefully. Visiting the corresponding page, you will learn everything in detail. I appeal to you on another point, a considerably more important one. Because of our work for the first time mankind has access to the instrumental measurement and control of psychological functions, and consequently - to the increase, suppression, strengthening or weakening of his own spirit. Such control isn't subject to what we call “conscious will” and consequently may be carried out without a person's agreement. This is extremely dangerous. A knife can cut a throat as well as a sausage - it all depends on whose hand holds the knife. First the nuclear bomb was invented, then the nuclear power station. We have been fortunate - we discovered principally new ways of diagnosing and treating psychological dysfunction. But that doesn't mean that frustrated people won't want to transform psychotechnologies into the weapons. That's why we have chosen to share with you everything we know. We want you to join us in our work - and its responsibility. Exercise extreme care in using our methods. Do not relish your power over your patients, and do not misuse your technical might, by extracting information from persons bypassing their volition and placing your command instructions in their unconscious. I believe that the third millennium can be an era of human spirit development, and that we are in some humble way the forerunners of this era. This is why we have chosen to share all this with you. With hope and belief, Igor Smirnov Links to research conducted by this group of scientists are linked to the Psychotechnology Research Institute webpage. I implore you start taking aggressive action to expose and end the use of these weapons which threaten the cognitive liberties of all of mankind. Please, please - make this issue public, now. People are dying from this, cruelly. The evidence that this truly is occurring is abundant (“Xtreme Defense” Washington Post, etc) and irrefutable. Lives are being destroyed and we cannot wait patiently for more evidence before exposure of these crimes against humanity exist. These weapons are now in use by law enforcement in the US and some are for sale online to any buyer. A petition by an activist in this cause is posted at http://www.petitionthem.com/default.asp?sect=detail&pet=2483 Also, there is info available at http://www.mindjustice.org , http://www.eharassment.ca and many other websites. The Washington Post ran a story called “Xtreme Defense” which details the capabilities of these weapons also. The article may be pulled up by a search on “Xtreme Defense”. Circulation and endorsement of the above petition would be helpful, or any other means of publicizing this issue. Please help us. Sincerely,

From:
https://ti.cibertortura.eu/ - **La cibertortura del Targeted Individual**

Permanent link:
https://ti.cibertortura.eu/doku.php?id=biblioteca:000001:15:start

Last update: **2026/06/01 19:11**